The Open Urology & Nephrology Journal
DOI: 10.2174/011874303X487109261022042811, 2026, 19, e1874303X487109
ISSN: 1874-303X

META-ANALYSIS **OPEN ACCESS**

Preoperative Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio as a Prognostic Biomarker in Bladder Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Naomi Constantia Allen[1,*], Nicholas Andrian Singgih[1] and Tjahjodjati[1]

[1]*Department of Urology, Padjadjaran University, Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia*

Abstract:

Introduction: The Albumin-To-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR) reflects both nutritional status and systemic inflammation, which are critical determinants of cancer prognosis. This systematic review aimed to evaluate the prognostic value of preoperative AFR in patients with bladder cancer.

Methods: This review was registered in PROSPERO (CRD420251110236). PubMed, the Cochrane Library, and EBSCOhost were searched up to July 2025 for studies reporting preoperative AFR (or its reciprocal, FAR) and survival outcomes in patients with histologically confirmed bladder cancer. Hazard Ratios (HRs) for Overall Survival (OS) were pooled using Review Manager and STATA, while progression- and recurrence-related outcomes were synthesized narratively because of differences in endpoint definitions.

Results: Four studies involving 1,381 patients were included. Preoperative AFR was not significantly associated with OS (HR 1.41, 95% CI: 0.51–3.94, p = 0.51). Individual studies generally suggested an association between lower AFR and unfavorable recurrence or progression outcomes.

Discussion: AFR may reflect the combined effects of systemic inflammation and nutritional status; however, differences in disease stage, treatment modality, and cut-off values limit interpretation of the pooled results.

Conclusion: Lower preoperative AFR was associated with unfavorable oncological outcomes in individual studies; however, the pooled analysis showed no significant association with overall survival, with substantial heterogeneity among studies. As a routinely available and inexpensive marker, AFR may serve as a complementary prognostic biomarker in bladder cancer, but current evidence is insufficient to establish its independent prognostic value. Standardized cut-off values and prospective multicenter validation are warranted.

Keywords: Albumin-to-fibrinogen ratio, AFR, Bladder cancer, Fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio, MIBC, Survival.

© 2026 The Author(s). Published by Bentham Open.
This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Public License (CC-BY 4.0), a copy of which is available at: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode. This license permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

*Address correspondence to this author at the Department of Urology, Padjadjaran University, Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia; E-mail: naomiconstantia@gmail.com

Cite as: Allen N, Singgih N, Tjahjodjati . Preoperative Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio as a Prognostic Biomarker in Bladder Cancer: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Open Urol Nephrol J, 2026; 19: e1874303X487109.
http://dx.doi.org/10.2174/011874303X487109261022042811

Received: May 14, 2026
Revised: September 02, 2026
Accepted: September 08, 2026
Published: October 29, 2026

Send Orders for Reprints to
reprints@benthamscience.net

1. INTRODUCTION

Bladder Cancer (BC) is the 10th most commonly diagnosed malignancy worldwide, with an estimated 573,000 new cases and 213,000 deaths in 2020 according to the Global Cancer Observatory (GLOBOCAN) [1]. The burden of bladder cancer is anticipated to increase with the aging population. In Indonesia, it ranked as the 13th most common malignancy in 2022, with approximately 7,381 new cases annually and a rising incidence, particularly in urban regions [2].

Despite advances in multimodal management, survival outcomes in patients with bladder cancer remain suboptimal, particularly in muscle-invasive disease. Therefore, the development of reliable and low-cost

prognostic biomarkers is important to improve risk stratification, inform treatment decisions, and monitor disease progression [3, 4].

Recently, several hematologic indices that reflect the inflammatory and nutritional status of the host, such as the Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR), Platelet-To-Lymphocyte Ratio (PLR), and Lymphocyte-To-Monocyte Ratio (LMR), have been identified as potentially useful predictors in various urologic malignancies [5-7]. The Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR) has recently attracted attention as a novel composite biomarker because it simultaneously reflects systemic inflammation (increased fibrinogen levels) and poor nutritional status (decreased albumin levels) [8]. Fibrinogen is involved in tumor progression and angiogenesis through its promotion of metastatic potential and proliferative signaling pathways [9, 10]. In addition, hypoalbuminemia has been reported as an indicator of poor prognosis in a variety of tumors, including urothelial carcinoma [11].

Although several primary studies have evaluated the prognostic role of AFR (or its inverse, FAR), their results have been inconsistent, and no systematic review had specifically addressed this topic at the time of the literature search. Therefore, we performed a systematic review and meta-analysis to assess the relationship between preoperative AFR and oncological outcomes (including OS, CSS, and RFS/PFS) in patients with bladder cancer.

2. METHODS

2.1. Protocol and Registration

This systematic review and meta-analysis was prospectively registered in PROSPERO (CRD42025 1110236) and reported according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [12].

2.2. Search Strategy and Study Selection

A systematic literature search was performed in PubMed, EBSCOhost, and the Cochrane Library to identify studies investigating the prognostic value of the Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR)/Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio (FAR) in patients with BC. The search was conducted using a combination of the following keywords and/or MeSH terms: (“albumin fibrinogen ratio” OR “AFR” OR “FAR”) AND (“bladder cancer” OR “bladder carcinoma”) AND (“prognosis” OR “survival”). The complete search strategy for each database is presented in Table **S1**. The search was restricted to articles published in English until July 2025.

All retrieved records were imported into Rayyan, a web application designed to facilitate screening for systematic reviews. The built-in deduplication function of Rayyan was used to automatically detect and remove duplicate records. Subsequently, two reviewers experienced with the Rayyan platform performed blinded title and abstract screening using the collaborative features of the platform. Any disagreement regarding study inclusion was resolved through discussion until consensus was reached. After title and abstract screening, records considered potentially eligible were retrieved in full text and reviewed according to the predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria.

2.3. Eligibility Criteria

Studies were eligible for inclusion if they met the following criteria: (1) studies conducted among adults with histologically diagnosed bladder cancer; (2) studies reporting preoperative AFR or FAR values; (3) studies evaluating the association between AFR/FAR and survival outcomes, including OS, CSS, RFS, DFS, DSS, PFS, and TTP; and (4) studies providing HRs with 95% CIs. Only prospective and retrospective cohort studies published in English were included in the analysis. Reviews, case series, conference abstracts, animal experiments, and studies without survival information were excluded from this review.

2.4. Data Extraction

Data extracted included the first author, year, country, study design, number of patients, mean age, sex, cancer type, treatment method, AFR cut-off, survival outcomes (OS, RFS/PFS, CSS), Hazard Ratios (HRs) with 95% CIs, follow-up duration, and method of AFR measurement.

2.5. Risk of Bias Assessment

Risk of bias was evaluated using the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) for cohort studies. Studies scoring ≥7 were considered high quality [13].

2.6. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using Review Manager (RevMan) version 5.4 and STATA software (version 17.0; StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Hazard Ratios (HRs) and 95% Confidence Intervals (CIs) were extracted for Overall Survival (OS), Cancer-Specific Survival (CSS), Recurrence-Free Survival (RFS), and Progression-Free Survival (PFS). Meta-analysis was performed only for OS, while other oncological outcomes were synthesized narratively because of differences in endpoint definitions. For studies reporting Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio (FAR), the values were mathematically converted to AFR by calculating the reciprocal (AFR = 1/FAR), assuming consistent units.

Log HRs and their Standard Errors (SEs) were calculated from the reported HRs and 95% CIs using the methods described by Tierney *et al*. [14] and were pooled using STATA. A random-effects model was used when substantial heterogeneity was present (I^2 >50%); otherwise, a fixed-effect model was considered. Heterogeneity among the studies was evaluated using the chi-square test and the I^2 statistic. Publication bias was evaluated using funnel plots.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Search Strategy and Study Selection

A total of 427 records were identified through

database searching, including 319 from PubMed, 24 from the Cochrane Library, and 84 from EBSCOhost. After removal of 58 duplicates, 369 records remained for title and abstract screening. Of these, 319 records were excluded. The full texts of 50 reports were sought for retrieval, of which 4 reports could not be retrieved. Therefore, 46 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility. After full-text review, 42 articles were excluded for the following reasons: wrong population (n = 12), wrong biomarker/exposure (n = 9), wrong outcomes (n = 11), review/editorial/conference abstract (n = 6), and insufficient data for quantitative synthesis (n = 4). Finally, 4 studies were included in the systematic review, and 3 studies were included in the meta-analysis. The study selection process is illustrated in Fig. (1).



Fig. (1). PRISMA 2020 Flowchart.

3.2. Characteristics of the Included Studies

Four retrospective cohort studies from China and Italy were included in this review [15-18], as summarized in Table 1. The total sample size was 1,381 patients. The mean age of participants ranged from 66 to 73 years, and male patients predominated in all studies (ranging from 65.4% to 86.1%). Two studies assessed the Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR), whereas two used the Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio (FAR), which was converted to AFR for consistency. The included studies involved patients with bladder cancer at different stages, ranging from Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer (NMIBC) to Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer (MIBC). Treatment modalities included Transurethral Resection of Bladder Tumor (TURBT) and Radical Cystectomy (RC). Reported outcomes included Overall Survival (OS), Cancer-Specific Survival (CSS), Disease-Specific Survival (DSS), Disease-Free Survival (DFS), Progression-Free Survival (PFS), Time to Progression (TTP), and bladder cancer diagnosis.

3.3. Association Between AFR and Oncological Outcomes

Table 2 summarizes the association between preoperative AFR and oncological outcomes in the four included studies. The AFR cut-off values ranged from 0.08 to 12.21 and were determined using Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves in most studies. OS was the most frequently reported outcome, and low AFR was consistently associated with poorer OS in the individual studies. For example, Li *et al*. (2021) [15] reported that an AFR below 12.21 was associated with poorer OS (HR 2.601, p = 0.037), and Claps *et al*. (2021) [16] reported a similar association using a cut-off of 9.53 (HR 2.24, p = 0.03). Secondary endpoints, including DSS, DFS, CSS, TTP, and PFS, were also evaluated in some studies and showed a similar direction of association, although not all reached statistical significance. The study by Barone *et al*. (2022) [18] was retained in the qualitative synthesis but excluded from the prognostic meta-analysis because it evaluated bladder cancer diagnosis using an odds ratio rather than a time-to-event survival outcome.

3.4. Risk of Bias

The Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) was used to appraise the quality of the included studies Table 3. All included studies were considered high quality, with NOS scores ranging from 7 to 9 stars. Most studies presented representative patient samples and well-defined comparison groups. Exposure and outcomes were ascertained using medical records and pathology reports. All studies provided follow-up periods adequate for the assessment of their respective outcomes. Furthermore, most studies adjusted for confounding factors in the analysis. These findings suggest that the included studies were of acceptable methodological quality for assessing the prognostic value of AFR in bladder cancer.

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies: study design, patient demographics, biomarker assessed, cancer type, treatment, and reported outcomes.

Author (Year)/Refs.	Location	Study Design	Age (Mean±SD)	Sex(M/F)	Sample Size	Biomarker	Type of Cancer	Treatment	Outcome
Li *et al*. (2021) [15]	China	Retrospective cohort study	67.0 ± 10.0	273 (76.3%)/85 (23.7%)	358 patients	AFR	Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer (NMIBC) to muscle-invasive bladder cancer (MIBC)	TURBT or Radical Cystectomy (RC)	OS, DSS, DFS
Claps *et al*. (2021) [16]	Italy	Retrospective cohort study	73 ± 8.9	161 (65.4%)/85 (34.6%)	246 patients	AFR	cT1-4aN0M0 BC	Radical Cystectomy (RC)	OS, CSS, TTP
Chen *et al*. (2021) [17]	China	Retrospective cohort study	66.0 ± 10.0	230 (86.1%)/37 (13.9%)	267 patients	FAR	MIBC and high-grade T1 BC	Radical Cystectomy (RC)	OS, PFS
Barone *et al*. (2022) [18]	Italy	Retrospective cohort study	73 ± 10.4	413 (81%)/97 (19%)	510 patients	FAR	Non-Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer (NMIBC) to Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer (MIBC)	TURBT	BC diagnosis (low/high grade *vs*. negative)

Table 2. Association between preoperative AFR/FAR and oncological outcomes in the included studies: cut-off values, outcomes, follow-up duration, and effect estimates.

Author (Year)/Refs.	Cut-off (AFR)	Outcome	Follow-up Duration	HR / OR (95% CI)	*P*-value
Li *et al*. (2021) [15]	12.21 (ROC)	Overall Survival (OS)	Median 36 months	HR 2.601 (1.057–6.395)	0.037
		Disease-Specific Survival (DSS)		HR 2.189 (0.727–6.590)	0.164
		Disease-Free Survival (DFS)		HR 1.971 (1.049–3.703)	0.035

(Table 2) cont.....

Author (Year)/Refs.	Cut-off (AFR)	Outcome	Follow-up Duration	HR / OR (95% CI)	*P*-value
Claps *et al.* (2021) [16]	9.53 (ROC, AUC=0.73)	Overall Survival (OS)	Median 26 months	HR 2.24 (1.65–2.77)	0.03
		Cancer-Specific Survival (CSS)		HR 2.70 (1.30–5.59)	0.01
		Time to Progression (TTP)		HR 2.21 (1.13–4.33)	0.02
Chen *et al.* (2021) [17]	0.08 (AFR)	Overall Survival (OS)	Median 40 months	HR 2.03 (1.07–3.85)	0.03
		Progression-Free Survival (PFS)		HR 2.33 (1.16–4.68)	0.017
Barone *et al.* (2022) [18]	1.32 (AFR)	Bladder cancer diagnosis	Not reported	OR = 2.062 (1.378–3.084)	0.108

Table 3. Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (NOS) quality assessment of included studies.

Study/Refs.	Selection				Comparability	Exposure			Total
	Representativeness of the Exposed Cohort	Selection of the Non-Exposed Cohort	Ascertainment of Exposure	Demonstration That Outcome of Interest Was Not Present at Start of Study	Comparability of Cohorts on the Basis of the Design or Analysis	Assessment of Outcome	Was Follow-Up Long Enough for Outcomes to Occur	Adequacy of Follow-Up of Cohorts	
Li *et al.* (2021) [15]	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	9/9
Claps *et al.* (2021) [16]	*	*	*	*	**	*	*	*	9/9
Chen *et al.* (2021) [17]	*	*	*	*	**	*	*		8/9
Barone *et al.* (2022) [18]	*	*	*		*	*	*	*	7/9

3.5. Meta-Analysis of the association Between AFR and Overall Survival

Figure (**2**) presents the forest plot of the three studies included in the meta-analysis of the association between preoperative Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR) and Overall Survival (OS) in patients with bladder cancer. The pooled HR was 1.41 (95% CI: 0.51–3.94; p = 0.51), indicating no statistically significant association between preoperative AFR and overall survival. Although the point estimate suggested a higher risk of mortality in patients with lower AFR, the confidence interval crossed 1, indicating considerable uncertainty in the association. Substantial heterogeneity was observed among the included studies (I^2 = 89.6%); therefore, a random-effects model was applied.

4. DISCUSSION

Lower preoperative Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR) was generally associated with poorer survival outcomes across individual studies, although the pooled meta-analysis did not demonstrate a statistically significant association with overall survival. Several included studies reported that lower AFR or higher Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio (FAR) was associated with unfavorable oncological outcomes, including reduced overall survival and progression-related outcomes [15-17]. These findings may reflect the biological role of systemic inflammation and nutritional status in cancer progression. Fibrinogen has been linked to tumor proliferation, angiogenesis, and metastatic potential, whereas hypoalbuminemia may indicate malnutrition and impaired immune response [9]. Therefore, AFR may provide additional prognostic information in patients with bladder cancer, particularly when used together with other established clinical and pathological factors.

The substantial heterogeneity in the pooled OS analysis (I^2 = 89.6%) may be related to differences in patient characteristics, disease stage, treatment modality, AFR/FAR measurement, cut-off values, and follow-up duration. The studies included both NMIBC and MIBC patients treated with TURBT or radical cystectomy. In addition, AFR cut-off values varied widely, ranging from 0.08 to 12.21, and were determined using different methods. These differences may affect the interpretation and generalizability of the pooled results.



Fig. (2). Forest plot of the association between AFR and overall survival.

These results are consistent with the findings of the individual included studies. Li *et al*. identified AFR as an independent prognostic factor for OS and DFS in patients with NMIBC and MIBC [15]. Claps *et al*. demonstrated that patients with an AFR <9.53 had poorer OS, CSS, and TTP after radical cystectomy [16]. Chen *et al*. reported that a higher Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio (FAR), the inverse of AFR, was independently associated with poorer OS and PFS in patients with MIBC or high-grade T1 bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy [17]. Taken together, these findings suggest that AFR may have prognostic relevance across different disease stages and treatment settings; however, the substantial clinical heterogeneity precludes firm conclusions regarding its prognostic performance across these subgroups.

Previous meta-analyses and studies of inflammation-based markers in bladder cancer have not evaluated AFR. Huang *et al*. (2020) evaluated the prognostic value of the systemic immune-inflammation index (SII) in urologic cancers but did not evaluate AFR or FAR [19]. Similarly, Li *et al*. (2017) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of NLR in urothelial carcinoma, which confirmed the association between higher NLR and poorer survival; however, AFR was not assessed [20]. In addition, Wang *et al*. (2024) investigated the prognostic role of the preoperative PLR and interleukin-6 levels in NMIBC without including AFR [21]. Moreover, Wang *et al*. (2019) performed a meta-analysis of pretreatment PLR and prognosis in bladder cancer but did not assess AFR or FAR [6]. Therefore, to the best of our knowledge, at the time of the literature search, this was the first systematic review specifically focusing on the prognostic value of AFR/FAR in bladder cancer.

The rationale for focusing on AFR lies in its dual representation of systemic inflammation and nutritional status, which are two important dimensions in cancer prognosis. Albumin reflects nutritional and hepatic function, while fibrinogen is an acute-phase reactant associated with systemic inflammation and tumor progression. As such, AFR may provide additional information by combining these two biological domains in a single marker. The prognostic relevance of AFR should also be interpreted in the context of established nutritional and inflammatory indices, including the Prognostic Nutritional Index (PNI) and Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT), as well as TNM stage and validated clinicopathological nomograms [22]. Whether AFR provides incremental prognostic information beyond these established variables remains uncertain.

Although AFR integrates nutritional and inflammatory information into a single measure, the available evidence does not establish that AFR provides superior prognostic discrimination compared with albumin or fibrinogen alone. Furthermore, none of the included studies provided sufficient evidence regarding incremental predictive value, such as net reclassification improvement (NRI), integrated discrimination improvement (IDI), or changes in model discrimination after adding AFR to established clinicopathological models [23, 24]. In addition, the prognostic association of AFR may be influenced by potential confounding factors, including tumor stage, comorbidity, nutritional status, and systemic inflammation. Because albumin and fibrinogen are components of AFR and may also be associated with other inflammatory or nutritional indices, potential collinearity with established prognostic variables should also be considered. The included retrospective studies did not provide sufficient evidence to determine the independent contribution of AFR beyond these factors.

In addition to hematological indicators, several prognostic nomograms have been developed to predict outcomes following radical cystectomy. Notable examples include the nomograms developed by a multi-institutional collaborative group to predict all-cause and bladder cancer-specific survival after radical cystectomy [25] and the nomogram developed by an international consortium to predict bladder cancer-specific mortality after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical cystectomy [26]. These nomograms incorporate clinical and pathological variables and predict survival with high accuracy. However, although these tools are useful, they rely on complete pathological data that are generally unavailable before surgery. In contrast, AFR is available preoperatively and could complement existing nomograms to further individualize risk stratification.

Clinically, AFR is a readily available and cost-effective prognostic indicator that can be measured using routine preoperative blood tests. Integrating AFR into pretreatment risk stratification algorithms may help identify high-risk patients who may be candidates for intensified surveillance or adjuvant treatment. This is particularly relevant in resource-limited settings, where extensive molecular profiling may not be feasible.

This review has several limitations. First, all included studies were retrospective, which introduces a high risk of selection and reporting bias. Second, the AFR cut-off values differed between studies and were determined using different methods (ROC curve and X-tile analysis), which may have contributed to heterogeneity. This variation underlines the need for future research to identify standardized, clinically relevant AFR cut-off values that can be compared across settings. Third, although FAR was mathematically transformed into AFR when required, unit inconsistencies and transformation bias cannot be completely excluded. Fourth, the small number of included studies (four in the qualitative synthesis and three in the meta-analysis), all conducted in China and Italy, limits the generalizability of the findings and precludes a reliable assessment of publication bias, as funnel plot-based methods are not recommended when fewer than 10 studies are available [27].

The prognostic value of AFR should be validated in large prospective studies. Standardization of cut-off values and integration of AFR into multiparametric prognostic models could improve its clinical applicability in the management of bladder cancer.

CONCLUSION

This systematic review evaluated the prognostic value of preoperative AFR in patients with bladder cancer and included four retrospective cohort studies comprising 1,381 patients. In the individual studies, lower preoperative AFR (or higher FAR) was associated with unfavorable oncological outcomes, including poorer OS, CSS, DFS, PFS, and TTP. However, the pooled analysis of three studies did not demonstrate a statistically significant association between AFR and overall survival (HR 1.41, 95% CI: 0.51–3.94; p = 0.51), and substantial heterogeneity was observed (I^2 = 89.6%). Recurrence- and progression-related outcomes were not pooled because of differences in endpoint definitions. Therefore, the current evidence is insufficient to establish AFR as an independent prognostic biomarker in bladder cancer. Nevertheless, because AFR is derived from routine and inexpensive preoperative blood tests, it may represent a potential complementary marker for preoperative risk stratification, particularly in resource-limited settings. Its incremental value beyond established clinicopathological variables, nutritional indices, and nomograms remains uncertain. Large prospective multicenter studies using standardized AFR measurement methods and cut-off values, and evaluating incremental predictive performance, are warranted before AFR can be incorporated into routine clinical practice.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTIONS

The authors confirm their contributions to the paper as follows: N.A.S., N.C.A.: Study conception and design; N.C.A.: Data collection; N.A.S., T.: Data analysis and interpretation; N.C.A.: Manuscript drafting. All authors reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AFR	=	Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio
FAR	=	Fibrinogen-to-Albumin Ratio
OS	=	Overall Survival
CSS	=	Cancer-Specific Survival
RFS	=	Recurrence-Free Survival
PFS	=	Progression-Free Survival

CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION

Not applicable.

STANDARDS OF REPORTING

PRISMA guidelines were followed.

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIAL

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest, financial or otherwise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Department of Urology, Dr. Hasan Sadikin General Hospital, Bandung, Indonesia.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is available on the publisher's website along with the published article.

PRISMA checklist is available as supplementary material on the publisher's website along with the published article.

REFERENCES

[1] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, *et al*. Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 2021; 71(3): 209-49. http://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660 PMID: 33538338

[2] Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I, *et al*. Cancer statistics for the year 2020: An overview. Int J Cancer 2021; 149(4): 778-89. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.33588 PMID: 33818764

[3] Wilson F, Joseph N, Choudhury A. Biomarkers in muscle invasive bladder cancer. Adv Clin Chem 2022; 107: 265-97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/bs.acc.2021.07.005 PMID: 35337604

[4] Ru Y, Dancik GM, Theodorescu D. Biomarkers for prognosis and treatment selection in advanced bladder cancer patients. Curr Opin Urol 2011; 21(5): 420-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOU.0b013e32834956d6 PMID: 21814055

[5] Huang J, Lin L, Mao D, Hua R, Guan F. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer with intravesical Bacillus Calmette–Guérin immunotherapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Immunol 2024; 15: 1464635. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2024.1464635 PMID: 39507536

[6] Wang X, Ni X, Tang G. Prognostic role of platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio in patients with bladder cancer: A meta-analysis. Front Oncol 2019; 9: 757. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00757 PMID: 31475109

[7] Ma J, Hu G, Liu Q. Prognostic significance of the lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio in bladder cancer undergoing radical cystectomy: A meta-analysis of 5638 individuals. Dis Markers 2019; 2019: 1-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2019/7593560 PMID: 31089397

[8] Li B, Deng H, Lei B, Chen L, Zhang X, Sha D. The prognostic value of fibrinogen to albumin ratio in malignant tumor patients: A meta-analysis. Front Oncol 2022; 12: 985377. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.985377 PMID: 36249067

[9] Wu X, Yu X, Chen C, *et al*. Fibrinogen and tumors. Front Oncol 2024; 14: 1393599. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2024.1393599 PMID: 38779081

[10] Zhang Y, Li Z, Zhang J, *et al*. Fibrinogen: A new player and target on the formation of pre-metastatic niche in tumor metastasis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2025; 207: 104625. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2025.104625 PMID: 39826884

[11] Xia Z, Fu X, Li J, *et al*. Prognostic value of pretreatment serum albumin−globulin ratio in urothelial carcinoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Oncol 2022; 12: 992118. http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.992118 PMID: 36052239

[12] Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, *et al*. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews.

BMJ 2021; 372(71): n71.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71 PMID: 33782057

[13] Gualdi-Russo E, Zaccagni L. The Newcastle–Ottawa scale for assessing the quality of studies in systematic reviews. Publications 2026; 14: 4.
http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/publications14010004

[14] Tierney JF, Stewart LA, Ghersi D, Burdett S, Sydes MR. Practical methods for incorporating summary time-to-event data into meta-analysis. Trials 2007; 8: 16.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1745-6215-8-16 PMID: 17555582

[15] Li S, Zhang D, Zeng S, *et al*. Prognostic value of preoperative albumin-to-fibrinogen ratio in patients with bladder cancer. J Cancer 2021; 12(19): 5864-73.
http://dx.doi.org/10.7150/jca.61068 PMID: 34475999

[16] Claps F, Rai S, Mir MC, *et al*. Prognostic value of preoperative Albumin-to-Fibrinogen Ratio (AFR) in patients with bladder cancer treated with radical cystectomy. Urol Oncol 2021; 39(12): 835.e9-835.e17.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2021.04.026 PMID: 34049782

[17] Chen J, Hao L, Zhang S, *et al*. Preoperative fibrinogen–albumin ratio, potential prognostic factors for bladder cancer patients undergoing radical cystectomy: A two-center study. Cancer Manag Res 2021; 13: 3181-92.
http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S300574 PMID: 33883935

[18] Barone B, Napolitano L, Reccia P, *et al*. Preoperative fibrinogen-to-albumin ratio as potential predictor of bladder cancer: A monocentric retrospective study. Medicina 2022; 58(10): 1490.
http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/medicina58101490 PMID: 36295649

[19] Huang Y, Gao Y, Wu Y, Lin H. Prognostic value of systemic immune-inflammation index in patients with urologic cancers: A meta-analysis. Cancer Cell Int 2020; 20(1): 499.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12935-020-01590-4 PMID: 33061851

[20] Li X, Ma X, Tang L, *et al*. Prognostic value of neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio in urothelial carcinoma of the upper urinary tract and bladder: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Oncotarget 2017; 8(37): 62681-92.
http://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.17467 PMID: 28977980

[21] Wang X, Zhang S, Sun Y, Cai L, Zhang J. The prognostic significance of preoperative platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio and interleukin-6 level in non-muscle invasive bladder cancer. Int J Biol Markers 2024; 39(3): 255-64.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/03936155241261719 PMID: 38859794

[22] Claps F, Mir MC, van Rhijn BWG, *et al*. Impact of the Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score on perioperative morbidity and oncological outcomes in patients with bladder cancer treated with radical cystectomy. Urol Oncol 2023; 41(1): 49.e13-22.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.urolonc.2022.09.023 PMID: 36274030

[23] Sun D, An L, Lv G. Albumin-fibrinogen ratio and fibrinogen-prealbumin ratio as promising prognostic markers for cancers: An updated meta-analysis. World J Surg Oncol 2020; 18(1): 9.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12957-020-1786-2 PMID: 31931816

[24] Deng C, Zhang S, Ling J, *et al*. Prognostic value of the Fibrinogen Albumin Ratio Index (FARI) in nasopharyngeal carcinoma patients undergoing radiotherapy. Sci Rep 2023; 13(1): 20630.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-48029-0 PMID: 37996660

[25] Shariat SF, Karakiewicz PI, Palapattu GS, *et al*. Nomograms provide improved accuracy for predicting survival after radical cystectomy. Clin Cancer Res 2006; 12(22): 6663-76.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-0372 PMID: 17121885

[26] Mir MC, Marchioni M, Zargar H, *et al*. Nomogram predicting bladder cancer–specific mortality after neoadjuvant chemotherapy and radical cystectomy for muscle-invasive bladder cancer: Results of an international consortium. Eur Urol Focus 2021; 7(6): 1347-54.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2020.07.002 PMID: 32771446

[27] Sterne JAC, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JPA, *et al*. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 2011; 343: d4002.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d4002